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#### Abstract

The space of new Generalized functions $\zeta(\Pi(R))$ has been constructed. The operation of associative multiplication $\Theta$ has been defined on $\zeta(\Pi(R))$.The embedding $\mathrm{J}_{\pi}: \zeta(\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{R})) \rightarrow \zeta(\Pi(\mathrm{R}))$ has been constructed.
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## INTRODUCTION

One of the first problems in distributions theory is how to define the associative multiplication in distribution spaces $S^{\prime}$ and $D^{\prime}$. Schwartz(1) demonstrated the impossibility to define Associative Multiplication in such spaces. If we suppose that the operation is defined, then it leads the following contrariety:
$(\mathrm{x} \delta(\mathrm{x})) \mathrm{p}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{x}}\right)=0 \quad$ and $\delta(\mathrm{x})\left(\mathrm{xp}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{x}}\right)\right)=\delta(\mathrm{x})$,
where $\delta(x)$ is the Dirac distribution.
So in Schwart's theory, the expressions $\delta^{2}, \delta^{3}, \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . . . . ., \delta^{\mathrm{n}}$ are undefined.

To solve this problem, Colomboea J.E. ${ }^{[2]}$ and his contemporaries studied the algebra of the objects referred to as "New Generalized Functions". After that Egorov ${ }^{[3]}$ developed a simpler theory compared to" Colombo's" theory of generalized functions and defined it's applicability to nonlinear differential equations with partial derivatives.

The works of Antonevitch and Radyno ${ }^{[4]}$ give a general construction method for the alegebras of new generalized functions and provide examples of its applications. Based on the Antonevitch -Radyno's approach, we published important results in this direction which have found applications in various fields of pure and applied mathematics ${ }^{[5-9]}$.

In such algebras constructed ${ }^{[5-9]}$, all the operations of multiplication, convolution, differentiation and the Fourier transformation are defined.
There arises a natural question : How is to define the Laplace transform in those algebras ?
The algebra of New Generalized functions $\xi(\Pi(\mathrm{R}))$ has been constructed;
so that
$\Pi^{\prime}(\mathrm{R}) \subset \mathrm{S}^{\prime}(\mathrm{R}) \subset \xi(\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{R})) \subset \xi(\Pi(\mathrm{R}))$
where $\xi(\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{R}))$ - the space of New Generalized functions constructed in ${ }^{[5]}$

## Preliminaries

We use the conventional notations
S - the space of test functions of rapid decay ;
L - the Laplace transform;
F - the Fourier transform;
*- the convolution;
S'- the space of tempered distributions.
We also use the definitions and some results ${ }^{[5]}$. Let us repeat some of them which are used throughout this study.

By $T(E)$ we denote the set of all possible sequences in E , where E be separated locally -convex algebra with topology defined by family of semi norms $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \mathrm{A}}$ such that for $\alpha \in \mathrm{A}$, there exist $\beta \in \mathrm{A}$ a constant $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}>0$ for which
$\rho_{\alpha}(\lambda . \gamma) \leq \mathrm{C}_{\alpha} \mathrm{P}_{\beta}(\lambda) \mathrm{P}_{\beta}(\gamma) \quad \forall \lambda, \gamma \in \mathrm{E}$
Let $T^{*}(E)$ be the set of all sequences $\left(\lambda_{k}\right)_{k=n}^{\infty} \in E$ satisfy the following conditions there is a number m such that for each $\alpha \in \mathrm{A}$, there is a nonnegative $\chi_{\alpha}>0$ such that $\mathrm{P}_{\alpha}\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{k}}\right) \leq \chi_{\alpha} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{m}}$ for each k . And $I^{*}(E)$ be the set of all sequences $\left(\lambda_{k}\right)_{k=n}^{\infty} \in E$ satisfy the following conditions for each number m and for each $\alpha \in \mathrm{A}$, there is a nonnegative $\chi_{\alpha}>0$ such that $\mathrm{P}_{\alpha}\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{k}}\right) \leq \chi_{\alpha} \mathrm{k}^{-\mathrm{m}}$ for each k . The following results are true ${ }^{[5]}$ :

## Theorem 1

a. Let $E$ be an algebra satisfies (1) then $T^{*}(E)$ is a sub algebra of algebra $T(E)$ and $I^{*}(E)$ is an Ideal in $\mathrm{T}^{*}(\mathrm{E})$.
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b. All Spaces $S(R), D(R)$, and $\xi(R)$ with their natural topology satisfy the inequality (1).
In 1993 we defined the space $\zeta(\mathrm{E})$ as a factor space $T^{*}(E) / I^{*}(E){ }^{[5]}$ and we proved many Important results for the space $\zeta(\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{R}))$. Also ${ }^{[8]}$ we have defined the extended Fourier Transform $\bar{F}: \zeta(\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{R})) \rightarrow \zeta(\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{R}))$.

The space $\zeta(\Pi(\mathrm{R}))$
Define the space $\Pi(\mathrm{R})=\Pi_{1}(\mathrm{R}) \cup \prod_{3}(\mathrm{R})$ where
$\Pi_{1}=\left\{\eta(\mathrm{t}) \in \mathrm{C}^{\infty}(\mathrm{R}): \lim _{\mathrm{t} \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{n}} \eta^{(\mathrm{k})}(\mathrm{t})=0, \quad \forall \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k} \in \mathrm{Z}\right\}$
$\Pi_{2}=\left\{\eta(\mathrm{t}) \in \mathrm{C}^{\infty}[0, \infty): \lim _{\mathrm{t} \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{n}} \eta^{(\mathrm{k})}(\mathrm{t})=0, \quad \forall \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k} \in \mathrm{Z}\right\}$
$\Pi_{3}=\left\{\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{t})=\eta(|\mathrm{t}|): \eta(\mathrm{t}) \in \Pi_{2}(\mathrm{R})\right\}$.
We define topology on $\Pi(\mathrm{R})$ by the following seminorms
$P_{\alpha}(\eta(t))=P_{n, 1}(\eta(t))=\sup _{k \leq n, m \leq 1} q_{k, m}(\eta(t))$
where $q_{k, m}(\eta(t))=\sup _{t \in(0, \infty)}\left|t^{k} \eta^{(m)}(t)\right|$
The space $\left(\Pi(R), P_{\alpha}\right)$ satisfies (1). So we conclude that $\mathrm{T}^{*}(\Pi(\mathrm{R}))$ is a sub algebra of $\mathrm{T}(\Pi(\mathrm{R}))$ and $\mathrm{I}^{*}(\Pi(\mathrm{R}))$ be an Ideal in $\mathrm{T}^{*}(\Pi(\mathrm{R}))$.
Moreover it easy to check the following results:

1. $S(R) \subset \Pi(R), T(S(R)) \subset T(\Pi(R))$;
2. $\Pi^{\prime}(R) \subset S^{\prime}(R), \Pi^{\prime}(R) \subset \zeta(S(R))$;
3. $T^{*}(S(R)) \subset T^{*}(\Pi(R)), I^{*}(S(R)) \subset I^{*}(\Pi(R))$.

The embedding of algebra $\zeta(\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{R})$ ) in to the algebra $\zeta(\Pi(\mathrm{R}))$ is defined by the following mapping:
$J_{\pi}:\left(\lambda_{k}\right)+I^{*}(S(R)) \rightarrow\left(\lambda_{k}\right)+I^{*}(\Pi(R))$
since if $\lambda, \gamma \in \zeta(\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{R}))$ and $J_{\pi}(\lambda)=J_{\pi}(\gamma)$, then
$\lambda=\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{k}}\right)+\mathrm{I}^{*}(\mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{R}))$ and $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{\mathrm{k}}\right)+\mathrm{I}^{*}(\mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{R}))$, and
$\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{k}}-\gamma_{\mathrm{k}}\right) \in \mathrm{I}^{*}(\Pi(\mathrm{R}))$, but.
$\left.\left(\lambda_{k}\right),\left(\gamma_{k}\right) \in T(S(R))\right)$
So we get the following results:
$\Pi^{\prime}(\mathrm{R}) \subset \mathrm{S}^{\prime}(\mathrm{R}) \subset \zeta(\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{R})) \subset \zeta(\Pi(\mathrm{R}))$.
In algebra $\zeta(\Pi(\mathrm{R}))$ we define the associative multiplication for $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{k}\right)+I^{*}(S(R))$,
$\gamma=\left(\gamma_{k}\right)+I^{*}(\mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{R}))$ by $\quad \lambda \Theta \gamma=\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{k}} \gamma_{\mathrm{k}}\right)+\mathrm{I}^{*}(\Pi(\mathrm{R}))$.
Theorem: The operation of multiplication $\Theta$ is independent of a representative.

Proof: Let $\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{k}}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(\gamma_{\mathrm{k}}^{\prime}\right)$ are any two other representative for $\lambda$ and $\gamma$ (respectively). Consider $\mathrm{p}_{\alpha}\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{k}} \gamma_{\mathrm{k}}-\lambda_{\mathrm{k}}^{\prime} \gamma_{\mathrm{k}}^{\prime}\right) \leq \mathrm{p}_{\alpha}\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{k}} \gamma_{\mathrm{k}}-\lambda_{\mathrm{k}} \gamma_{\mathrm{k}}^{\prime}\right)+\mathrm{p}_{\alpha}\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{k}} \gamma_{\mathrm{k}}^{\prime}-\lambda_{\mathrm{k}}^{\prime} \gamma_{\mathrm{k}}^{\prime}\right) \leq$

## $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha 1} \mathrm{P}_{\beta 1}\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{k}}\right) \mathrm{P}_{\beta 2}\left(\gamma_{\mathrm{k}}-\gamma_{\mathrm{k}}^{\prime}\right)+\mathrm{C}_{\alpha 2} \mathrm{P}_{\beta 3}\left(\gamma_{\mathrm{k}}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{P}_{\beta 4}\left(\gamma_{\mathrm{k}}-\gamma_{\mathrm{k}}^{\prime}\right) \leq \mathrm{C}_{\alpha} \mathrm{k}^{-\mathrm{m}}$

Which means $\lambda_{\mathrm{k}} \gamma_{\mathrm{k}} \cong \lambda_{\mathrm{k}}^{\prime} \gamma_{\mathrm{k}}^{\prime}$.
So In algebra $\zeta(\Pi(\mathrm{R}))$ we can define the associative multiplication of distributions from $\Pi^{\prime}(R)$ and $S^{\prime}(R)$.

Example: Let $\delta(x) \in \mathrm{S}^{\prime}(\mathrm{R})$, then $\delta_{\varphi}^{2}=\left(4 \pi^{2}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{~F}^{2}\left(\varphi_{\mathrm{k}}\right)+\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{R}))$.
For each $\psi \in S(R)$ we have $\left\langle\mathrm{F}^{2} \varphi_{\mathrm{k}}, \psi\right\rangle=\int_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{k}^{2} \mathrm{~F}^{2}(\varphi(\mathrm{kx})) \varphi(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{dx}=\mathrm{k} \int_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{F}^{2}(\varphi(\tau)) \psi\left(\frac{\tau}{\mathrm{k}}\right) \mathrm{d} \tau=$
$=\mathrm{kC}_{0 \varphi}\langle\delta, \psi\rangle+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{l}}\left\langle\delta^{\prime}, \psi\right\rangle+\frac{1}{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{C}_{2 \varphi}\left\langle\delta^{\prime \prime}, \psi\right\rangle+\ldots \ldots . .+\frac{1}{\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{n}-1}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{nq}}\left\langle\delta^{(n)}, \psi\right\rangle+\ldots \ldots$
That $\quad$ is $\quad \delta^{2}=\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \sum \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n} \varphi} \frac{\delta^{(\mathrm{n})}}{\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{n}-1}}, \quad$ where $C_{n \varphi}=\int_{R} F^{2}(\varphi(\tau)) d \tau$.
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