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#### Abstract

We define an operator on the class $\mathcal{A}$ of analytic functions in the unit disk $\mathbb{U}=\{z:|z|<1\}$ involving the polylogarithms functions and introduce certain new subclasses of $\mathcal{A}$ using this operator. Some inclusion results, covering theorem, coefficients inequalities, and several other interesting properties of these classes are obtained.
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## INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of functions of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the unit disk $\mathbb{U}=\{z:|z|<1\}$. For functions $f$ given by (1) and $g(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k}$, let $(f * g)(z)$ denote the Hadamard product (or convolution) of $f(z)$ and $g(z)$, defined by $(f * g)(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} b_{k} z^{k}$. And for the functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ in $\mathcal{A}$, we say that $f$ is subordinate to $g$ in $\mathbb{U}$, and write $f \prec g$, if there exists a Schwarz function $w$ in $\mathcal{A}$ with $|w(z)|<1$ and $w(0)=0$ such that $f(z)=g(w(z))$ in $\mathbb{U}$.

For $f \in \mathcal{A}$, Sălăgean ${ }^{[9]}$ has introduced the following operator called the Sălăgean operator:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D^{0} f(z)=f(z), \\
& D^{1} f(z)=D f(z)=z f^{\prime}(z), \\
& D^{n} f(z)=D\left(D^{n-1} f(z)\right), \quad(n \in \mathbb{N})
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D^{n} f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n} a_{k} z^{k} \\
& \left(n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}=\mathbb{N} \bigcup\{0\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Denote by $D^{\lambda}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, the operator defined by:

$$
D^{\lambda} f(z)=\frac{z}{(1-z)^{\lambda+1}} * f(z) \quad(\lambda>-1)
$$

It is obvious that $D^{0} f(z)=f(z)$, $D^{1} f(z)=z f^{\prime}(z)$ and

$$
D^{\delta} f(z)=\frac{z\left(z^{\delta-1} f(z)\right)^{(\delta)}}{\delta!},\left(\delta \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right)
$$

Note that $D^{\delta} f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} C(\delta, k) a_{k} z^{k}$,
where $C(\delta, k)=\binom{k+\delta-1}{\delta}$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.
The operator $D^{\delta} f$ is called the Ruscheweyh derivative operator ${ }^{[8]}$.

Finally, let $P$ denote the class of functions of the form $p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$ analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ which satisfy the condition $\operatorname{Re}\{p(z)\}>0$.

We recall here the definition of the wellknown generalization of the polylogarithm function $G(n ; z)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(n ; z)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{k}}{k^{n}} \quad(n \in \square, z \in \mathbb{U}) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that $G(-1 ; z)=z /(1-z)^{2}$ is Koebe function. For more about polylogarithms in theory of univalent functions see Ponnusamy and Sabapathy ${ }^{[7]}$ and Ponnusamy ${ }^{[6]}$.

We now introduce a function $(G(n ; z))^{(-1)}$ given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& G(n ; z) *(G(n ; z))^{(-1)}=\frac{z}{(1-z)^{\lambda+1}}, \\
& (\lambda>-1, n \in \square) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

and obtain the following linear operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)=(G(n ; z))^{(-1)} * f(z) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we find the explicit form of the function $(G(n ; z))^{(-1)}$. It is well known that for $\lambda>-1$ we have:
$\frac{z}{(1-z)^{\lambda+1}}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda+1)_{k}}{k!} z^{k+1} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})$.
Putting (3) and (5) in (4), we get:

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{n}} z^{k} *(G(n ; z))^{(-1)}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(k+\lambda-1)!}{\lambda!(k-1)!} z^{k} .
$$

Therefore the function $(G(n ; z))^{(-1)}$ has the following form
$(G(n ; z))^{(-1)}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{n} \frac{(k+\lambda-1)!}{\lambda!(k-1)!} z^{k} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})$.
For $n, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, we note that
$\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n} \frac{(k+\lambda-1)!}{\lambda!(k-1)!} z^{k} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})$.
Note that $\mathfrak{D}_{0}^{\mathrm{n}} \equiv D^{n}$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{0} \equiv D^{\delta}$ which are Sălăgean and Ruscheweyh derivative operators , respectively ${ }^{[9,8]}$. It is clear that the operator $\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{n}$ included two known derivative operators. Also note that $\mathfrak{D}_{0}^{0} f(z)=f(z) \quad$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{0}^{1} f(z)=\mathfrak{D}_{1}^{0} f(z)=z f^{\prime}(z)$.

Definition 1: Let $K_{\lambda}^{n}(\phi(z))$ be the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ for which

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{z\left(\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)} \prec \phi(z),  \tag{7}\\
& \left(n, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_{0} ; \phi \in P ; z \in \mathbb{U}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Definition 2: $\operatorname{Le} \phi(z)=(1+(1-2 \alpha) z) /(1-z)$, then $K_{\lambda}^{n}(\phi) \equiv R_{\lambda}^{n}(\alpha)$ be the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ for which

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z\left(\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)}\right\}>\alpha, \\
\left(n, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_{0} ; 0 \leq \alpha<1 ; z \in \mathbb{U}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that $K_{0}^{0}(\phi) \equiv S^{*}(\phi)$ were introduced and $\stackrel{\text { studied }}{0}$ by Ma and Minda ${ }^{[5]}, R_{\lambda}^{0}(\alpha) \equiv R_{\lambda}(\alpha)$ were studied by Ahuja ${ }^{[1]}$ and $R_{0}^{n}(\alpha) \equiv R_{n}(\alpha)$ were studied by Kadioğlu ${ }^{[4]}$. Also for different choices of $n, \lambda$, and $\phi$, we obtain several subclasses of analytic functions investigated earlier by other authors.

Let $\mathcal{T}$ denote the subclass of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of the functions that can be expressed in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}\right| z^{k} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we defined the class $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{n}(\alpha)=R_{\lambda}^{n}(\alpha) \cap \mathcal{T}$. Note that $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{n}(\alpha) \subset R_{\lambda}^{n}(\alpha)$.

In this paper, we investigate several inclusion properties for the classes $K_{\lambda}^{n}(\phi(z))$ associated with the operator $\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{n}$. Some applications involving operator are also obtained. Also, we derive several interesting properties of functions belonging to the $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{n}(\alpha)$ consisting of analytic and univalent functions with negative coefficients. Coefficient inequalities, distortion theorems and result on integral operators are also given.

## THE CLASSES $K_{\lambda}^{n}(\phi(z))$

To derive our first theorem, we need the following lemma due to Eenigenburg et al. ${ }^{[3]}$.

Lemma 1: Let $\beta, v$ be complex numbers. Let $\phi \in P$ be convex univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ with $\phi(0)=1$ and $\quad \operatorname{Re}[\beta \phi(z)+v]>0, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}$. If $p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$ is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $p(0)=1$, then
$p(z)+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{\beta \phi(z)+v} \prec \phi(z) \Rightarrow p(z) \prec \phi(z),(z \in \mathbb{U})$.

Theorem 1: Let $n, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $\phi \in P$. Then

$$
K_{\lambda+1}^{n}(\phi) \subset K_{\lambda}^{n}(\phi) .
$$

Proof: Let $f \in K_{\lambda+1}^{n}(\phi)$ and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=\frac{z\left(\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p(z)$ analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $p(0)=1$.
One can easily verify the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}=(\lambda+1) \mathfrak{D}_{\lambda+1}^{n} f(z)-\lambda \mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{n} f(z) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using (11) in (10), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\lambda+1) \frac{\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda+1}^{n} f(z)}{\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)}=p(z)+\lambda . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the logarithmic differentiation on both sides of (12) and multiplying by $z$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z\left(\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda+1}^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda+1}^{n} f(z)}=p(z)+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)+\lambda}(z \in \mathbb{U}) . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Lemma 1 to (13), it follows that $p \prec \phi$, that is $f \in K_{\lambda}^{n}(\phi)$. Therefore, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.

Corollary 1: Let $n, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $\phi \in P$. Then $K_{\lambda+1}^{n+1}(\phi) \subset K_{\lambda}^{n}(\phi)$.

Theorem 2: Let the function $f \in K_{\lambda}^{n}(\phi)$ and let $c$ be real number such $c>-1$, then the function $F$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\frac{c+1}{z^{c}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{c-1} f(t) d t \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

belongs to the class $K_{\lambda+1}^{n}(\phi)$.
Proof: Let $f \in K_{\lambda+1}^{n}(\phi)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z\left(\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda+1}^{n} F(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda+1}^{n} F(z)} \prec \phi(z) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
p(z)=\frac{z\left(\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{n} F(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{n} F(z)} .
$$

From the representation of $F(z)$, it follows that

$$
z\left(\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{n} F(z)\right)^{\prime}=(c+1) \mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)-c \mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{n} F(z)
$$

By using the same technique as in the proof of Theorem 1, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z\left(\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)}=p(z)+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)+c} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying Lemma 1 we obtain the required result.

## THE CLASSES $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{n}(\alpha)$

First, we provide a sufficient condition for a function $f$ analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ to be in $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{n}(\alpha)$.

## Coefficient estimates:

Theorem 3: Let the function $f$ be defined by (9). Then $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{n}(\alpha)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}(k-\alpha) k^{n} C(\lambda, k)\left|a_{k}\right| \leq 1-\alpha, \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $C(\lambda, k)=\binom{k+\lambda-1}{\lambda}$.
Proof: Assume that the inequality (17) holds true and $|z|=1$. Then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{z\left(\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)}-1\right| & =\left|\frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}(k-1) k^{n} C(\lambda, k) a_{k} z^{k}}{z-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n} C(\lambda, k) a_{k} z^{k}}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}(k-1) k^{n} C(\lambda, k)\left|a_{k}\right|}{1-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n} C(\lambda, k)\left|a_{k}\right|} \\
& \leq 1-\alpha .
\end{aligned}
$$

This show that the values of $\frac{z\left(\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)}$ lies in a circle centered at $w=1$ whose radius 1 whose radius $1-\alpha$. Hence $f$ satisfies the condition (17).

Conversely, we assume that the function $f$ defined by (9) is in the class $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{n}(\alpha)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z\left(\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)}\right\}=\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n+1} C(\lambda, k) a_{k} z^{k}}{z-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n} C(\lambda, k) a_{k} z^{k}}\right\}>\alpha . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $z \in \mathbb{U}$, we choose values of $z$ on the real axis so that $\frac{z\left(\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathfrak{D}_{\lambda}^{n} f(z)}$ is real.

Upon clearing the denominator in (18) and letting $z \rightarrow 1^{-}$through real values, we obtain
$1-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n+1} C(\lambda, k)\left|a_{k}\right| \geq \alpha\left\{1-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n} C(\lambda, k)\left|a_{k}\right|\right\}$ which gives (17).

Finally the result is sharp with the extremal function $f$ given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(z)=z-\frac{1-\alpha}{(k-\alpha) k^{n} C(\lambda, k)} z^{k},  \tag{20}\\
\left(n, \lambda \in N_{0} ; 0 \leq \alpha<1 ; k \geq 2\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Corollary 2: Let the function $f$ defined by (9) be in the class $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{n}(\alpha)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k} \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{(k-\alpha) k^{n} C(\lambda, k)} \quad\left(n, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_{0} ; 0 \leq \alpha<1 ; k \geq 2\right) . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equality is attained for the function $f$ given by (20).

## Distortion theorem:

A distortion property for function $f$ to be in the class $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{n}(\alpha)$ given as follows:

Theorem 4: Let the function $f$ defined by (9) be in the class $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{n}(\alpha)$. Then for $|z|=r$ we have
$r-\frac{1-\alpha}{(2-\alpha) 2^{n}(\lambda+1)} r^{2} \leq|f(z)| \leq r+\frac{1-\alpha}{(2-\alpha) 2^{n}(\lambda+1)} r^{2}$,
and
$\left.1-\frac{1-\alpha}{(2-\alpha) 2^{n-1}(\lambda+1)} r \leq f^{\prime}(z) \right\rvert\, \leq r+\frac{1-\alpha}{(2-\alpha) 2^{n-1}(\lambda+1)} r$.
Proof: In view of Theorem 4, we have
$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{(2-\alpha) 2^{n}(\lambda+1)}$.
Hence
$|f(z)| \leq r+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}\right| r^{k} \leq r+\frac{1-\alpha}{(2-\alpha) 2^{n}(\lambda+1)} r^{2}$,
and

$$
|f(z)| \geq r-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}\right| r^{k} \geq r-\frac{1-\alpha}{(2-\alpha) 2^{n}(\lambda+1)} r^{2} .
$$

In the same way we have
$1-\frac{1-\alpha}{(2-\alpha) 2^{n-1}(\lambda+1)} r \leq\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq r+\frac{1-\alpha}{(2-\alpha) 2^{n-1}(\lambda+1)} r$.
This completes the proof of the theorem. The above bounds are sharp. Equalities are attended for the following function
$f(z)=z-\frac{1-\alpha}{(2-\alpha) 2^{n}(\lambda+1)} z^{2}, \quad z= \pm r$.

Corollary 3: The disk $|z|<1$ is mapped onto a domain that contains the disk

$$
|w|<1-\frac{1-\alpha}{(2-\alpha) 2^{n}(\lambda+1)} .
$$

The result is sharp with extremal function (23).
Proof: The result follows upon letting $r \rightarrow 1$ in (22).

## Integral Operator:

Bernardi ${ }^{[5]}$ introduced integral operator defined as follows:

Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $c>-1$. Then, for $z \in \mathbb{U}$

$$
F(z)=\frac{c+1}{z^{c}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{c-1} f(t) d t
$$

Now we consider our results.
Theorem 5: Let the function $f$ defined by (9) be in the class $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{n}(\alpha)$ and let $c$ be real number such that $c>-1$, then the function $F$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\frac{c+1}{z^{c}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{c-1} f(t) d t \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: From the representation of $F(z)$, it follows that

$$
F(z)=z-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left|b_{k}\right| z^{k}
$$

where $\left|b_{k}\right|=\left(\frac{c+1}{c+k}\right)\left|a_{k}\right|<1$. Therefore
$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}(k-\alpha) k^{n} C(\lambda, k)\left|b_{k}\right|$
$=\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}(k-\alpha) k^{n} C(\lambda, k)\left(\frac{c+1}{c+k}\right)\left|a_{k}\right|$
$\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty}(k-\alpha) k^{n} C(\lambda, k)\left|a_{k}\right| \leq 1-\alpha$.
Since $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{n}(\alpha)$ and hence by Theorem 5, $F \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{n}(\alpha)$.
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